Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,279	SCHMITZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** *amendment after non-final*

(1) *Christopher P. Bruenjes (PTO)*. (3) _____.

(2) *Kirsten Gruneberg (applicant's representative)*. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: *21 August 2006* **Time:** *1:00 pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none of record

Claims discussed:
1, 37, 70, and 71

Prior art documents discussed:
none of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to add the limitations of claims 70 and 71 to claims 1 and 37 respectively as presented in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)